

Enhancing Construction Workers Safety and Health in Post-Disaster Recovery and Reconstruction: An Overview

Sang D. Choi¹ and S M Jamil Uddin²

¹Department of Global and Community Health
George Mason University, USA

²Department of Construction Management
Colorado State University, USA

Corresponding author's Email: schoi70@gmu.edu

Authors Note: Dr. Sang Daniel Choi, PhD, MPH, MS, CSP, CPE is a Professor in the Department of Global and Community Health at George Mason University. Dr. Choi's research and teaching expertise includes Occupational and Environmental Health & Safety, Disaster Planning and Response, Hazard/Risk Assessment, Injury/Fall Prevention, Construction Safety & Health, and Prevention through Design. Dr. Choi previously worked as an OSH specialist for CDC/NIOSH and as a Professor in the Department of Occupational & Environmental Safety & Health (OESH) at the University of Wisconsin. Dr. Choi is a proponent of efforts to integrate research into the practice and is dedicated to bridge the gap between academia and the OESH profession - Research to Practice to Research (RtPtR).

Dr. S M Jamil Uddin has a Ph.D. in Civil Engineering (Construction Engineering) from North Carolina State University and an MS in Construction Management from Florida International University. Dr. Uddin is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Construction Management at Colorado State University and his research interests are in the area of construction workers health and safety, and disaster recovery and reconstruction.

Abstract: Post-disaster recovery and reconstruction (PDRR) presents a complex and hazardous environment for construction workers, marked by urgent timelines, unstable structures, and heightened exposure to health and safety risks. Despite the critical role of these workers in rebuilding communities, their safety is often compromised by inadequate planning, operational pressures, and systemic gaps in hazard mitigation. This paper synthesizes current knowledge on construction worker safety and health during PDRR, identifies key challenges and health risks, and proposes actionable strategies for safer recovery & reconstruction operations, drawing on lessons from case studies (e.g., the 2015 Nepal earthquake). The background highlights the unique safety & health challenges faced by construction workers during PDRR, including the prevalence of toxic materials (such as asbestos, mold, and contaminated water), structural instability, and the management of hazardous debris. Rapid reconstruction timelines and the utilization of unskilled or immigrant labor further exacerbate risks, often resulting in worker fatigue and increased accident rates. Operational pressures, coupled with weak stakeholder coordination and inadequate safety protocols, underscore the need for comprehensive planning and robust hazard mitigation measures. Key concepts in PDRR emphasize the integration of physical, biological/chemical, and psychological/mental health hazards and risk mitigation and worker safety and health into all phases of recovery and reconstruction. National ownership and equitable development are essential for resilient rebuilding, while the explicit inclusion of worker safety in disaster preparedness plans ensures that no group is left unprotected. This paper highlights the physical, chemical and mental health risks faced by workers, including exposure to dangerous substances, structural collapses, and psychological stress, as well as systemic issues such as lack of training and poor enforcement of safety regulations. Strategies for safer recovery and reconstruction operations are presented, focusing on pre-planning, on-site safety protocols, and policy integration. Case studies such as the 2015 Nepal earthquake illustrate the consequences of inadequate preparedness and the benefits of strong coordination, community engagement, and adaptable funding. Recommendations are provided for planners, employers, and policymakers to prioritize workers safety and health through inclusive and multi-faceted planning, comprehensive training, and rigorous enforcement and development of safety and health policies, guidelines and standards.

Keywords: Post-disaster, Recovery, Response, Reconstruction, Hazards and Risk Assessment, Safety and Health, Prevention strategies, Construction

1. Introduction

Post-disaster recovery and reconstruction (PDRR) processes are essential to restoring infrastructure and livelihoods after natural or human-made catastrophes. Construction workers are on the frontline of these efforts, playing a vital role in rebuilding homes, hospitals, roads, and critical infrastructure (Uddin et al., 2023). However, the urgency of PDRR frequently results in compressed timelines, unstable environments, and systemic neglect of occupational safety and health protocols. These conditions expose workers to compounded physical, chemical, biological, and psychological hazards, often under inadequate regulatory oversight (Toole, 2002; Goh et al., 2010). While the importance of resilient rebuilding has been recognized globally, worker safety is rarely centered in disaster policy frameworks. The risks are even more pronounced when reconstruction involves unskilled or migrant labor, who may lack sufficient safety training or access to protective equipment (Baradan & Usmen, 2006). Additionally, these laborers often operate in post-traumatic conditions, where mental health support is minimal, and stakeholder coordination is fragmented. The 2015 Nepal earthquake, for instance, demonstrated both the heroic contributions of construction workers and the fatal consequences of insufficient safety planning (Walsh et al., 2017). This paper synthesizes current literature and empirical insights on construction worker safety and health in PDRR contexts. It examines the intersection of operational urgency, environmental instability, and systemic policy gaps and presents strategies to enhance safety through pre-disaster planning, inclusive stakeholder collaboration, and targeted policy enforcement. Case studies, particularly from Nepal’s reconstruction efforts, are analyzed to extract practical recommendations for safer and more equitable rebuilding practices.

2. Methods

Peer-reviewed journal articles, reports from international agencies (e.g., WHO, ILO, UNDRR), government publications, and case study documentation were reviewed. Searches were conducted using databases such as Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Key terms included: “construction worker safety,” “post-disaster reconstruction,” “occupational health,” “hazard mitigation,” “migrant labor,” and “Nepal earthquake reconstruction.” Studies were included if they: focused on safety and health in post-disaster reconstruction environments; examined physical, chemical, or psychological risks; offered empirical evidence, case study data, or policy recommendations. Papers not available in English or lacking relevance to construction or PDRR contexts were excluded. Data were extracted into thematic categories such as risk factors, health outcomes, systemic challenges, and proposed interventions. Insights were synthesized narratively, with attention to patterns, gaps, and context-specific applications.

3. Results

This review study identified relevant publications addressing construction worker safety in post-disaster contexts. Findings were organized into eight categories: (1) Occupational Hazards, (2) Worker Demographics & Risk, (3) Fatigue & Productivity Pressures, (4) Mental Health Stressor, (5) Policy & Enforcement Gaps, (6) Stakeholder Coordination, (7) Training Gaps, and (8) Recovery Infrastructure.

Table 1. Key Findings on Construction Worker Safety in PDRR Contexts

Category	Findings	Examples	References
Occupational Hazards	Exposure to structural instability, debris, chemical contaminants (e.g., asbestos, mold), biohazards, and unsafe equipment	Post-earthquake rubble in Nepal; mold and contamination after Hurricane Katrina	Bosher & Dainty (2011); ILO (2019)
Worker Demographics & Risk	Migrant, informal, and female workers lack access to contracts, safety info, or context-specific PPE	Migrant laborers in Nepal; Women exposed to unsafe sanitation sites	Baradan & Usmen (2006); Zuo et al. (2018)

Fatigue & Productivity Pressures	Accelerated timelines, limited rest, and insufficient rotation increase physical strain and accidents	Long-hour shifts under heat during typhoon recovery in the Philippines	Lehtinen et al. (2014); ILO (2019)
Mental Health Stressors	Workers experience trauma from disaster settings, long hours, emotional fatigue, and lack of psychosocial support	Psychological distress in tsunami-hit Aceh construction crews	Somasundaraswaran et al. (2015); Lehtinen et al. (2014)
Policy & Enforcement Gaps	Weak enforcement, relaxed safety protocols post-disaster, overlapping mandates, and inconsistent oversight	Conflicting authority roles in Haiti post-earthquake	Goh et al. (2010); Boshier et al. (2007)
Stakeholder Coordination	Fragmented governance, poor communication across agencies, lack of inclusive safety planning	Mixed signals between NGOs and government bodies during Nepal's housing reconstruction	Walsh et al. (2017); Boshier et al. (2007)
Training Gaps	Workers lack disaster-context safety training, multilingual instruction, and hazard awareness	Day laborers unaware of asbestos exposure after Chile earthquake	Baradan & Usmen (2006); ILO (2019)
Recovery Infrastructure	Scarcity of safety signage, temporary shelters, clean water, and hygienic onsite facilities	Temporary camps in Haiti reconstruction efforts	Boshier & Dainty (2011); Goh et al. (2010)

Occupational hazards in post-disaster reconstruction sites are significantly elevated due to the unstable and unpredictable nature of the environment. Workers are frequently exposed to structural instability, hazardous debris, and chemical contaminants such as asbestos and mold, especially in older or water-damaged buildings (Boshier & Dainty, 2011; ILO, 2019). These risks are often exacerbated by the urgency to clear debris and rebuild quickly, which can lead to the neglect of standard safety protocols. For instance, in the aftermath of the Nepal earthquake, workers operated in precarious conditions with minimal protective equipment, increasing their vulnerability to injury and long-term health issues (Walsh et al., 2017).

Worker demographics significantly influence exposure to risk, with migrant, informal, and female laborers facing disproportionate challenges. These groups often lack formal contracts, access to safety information, and context-specific personal protective equipment (PPE) (Baradan & Usmen, 2006; Zuo et al., 2018). In Nepal, migrant workers were frequently assigned to high-risk tasks without adequate training or protective gear, while female workers encountered additional barriers such as harassment and exclusion from safety briefings. The absence of gender-adapted PPE and sanitation facilities further marginalized women in the workforce, undermining both safety and equity.

Systemic policy and enforcement gaps exacerbate these vulnerabilities. Despite the existence of international safety standards, enforcement is often inconsistent or deprioritized in the wake of disasters. Overlapping mandates among agencies and relaxed safety protocols contribute to fragmented oversight (Goh et al., 2010; Boshier et al., 2007). In Haiti, for example, conflicting roles between NGOs and government bodies led to confusion and lapses in safety enforcement during reconstruction. These governance failures highlight the need for centralized coordination and robust regulatory frameworks that remain active even under emergency conditions.

The lack of training and recovery infrastructure further undermines worker safety. Many laborers are unaware of the specific hazards present in disaster zones, such as chemical exposure or structural instability, due to the absence of multilingual and context-specific training programs (ILO, 2019). Temporary camps often lack basic amenities like clean water, hygienic facilities, and safety signage, creating additional health risks (Boshier & Dainty, 2011). The Chile earthquake response revealed that day laborers were unknowingly exposed to asbestos, underscoring the critical need for proactive education and infrastructure planning in PDRR operations.

Mental health stressors are another critical yet under-addressed dimension of worker safety in PDRR contexts. Construction workers often operate in emotionally charged environments, having witnessed or experienced

trauma from the disaster itself. Long working hours, emotional fatigue, and the absence of psychosocial support contribute to elevated levels of psychological distress (Somasundaraswaran et al., 2015; Lehtinen et al., 2014). In Aceh, Indonesia, for instance, construction crews rebuilding after the tsunami reported high levels of anxiety and depression, exacerbated by the lack of mental health services and the pressure to meet accelerated timelines.

4. Post-Disaster Construction Worker Health and Safety: A Case Study in Bhaktapur, Nepal

A case study by Uddin et al. (2021) in Bhaktapur, Nepal, highlights critical differences in health and safety conditions between post-disaster reconstruction (PDR) and conventional construction. Based on interviews with a diverse range of stakeholders, the study identifies five major areas of concern in PDR: debris management, workforce management, hazardous work environments, urgency to rebuild, and safety risk perception.

PDR sites pose heightened risks due to unstable structures, hazardous debris, and pressure to quickly restore housing. Workers often operate without adequate safety training or access to personal protective equipment (PPE), and small contractors cite financial barriers to providing them. Additionally, traumatic experiences, such as discovering human remains, and the fear of aftershocks contribute to significant psychosocial stress among workers.

Workforce challenges are intensified by the reliance on unskilled or disaster-affected individuals, many of whom lack the training to navigate dangerous conditions. The urgency created by installment-based government aid, intended to accelerate reconstruction, often results in unsafe, rushed construction, a phenomenon the authors term the “Faster Reconstruction Syndrome.” Despite understanding the risks, many workers continue in these jobs due to financial necessity.

Uddin et al. (2021) advocate for comprehensive safety planning and capacity-building efforts tailored specifically to PDR contexts. The study calls on government agencies, NGOs, and construction firms to collaborate in offering targeted training, technical support, better enforcement of labor laws, and improved access to PPE. It also emphasizes the need for psychosocial support programs to address the mental health impacts of PDR work. Overall, the study highlights the importance of recognizing construction workers as key stakeholders in disaster recovery and ensuring their well-being through systemic, coordinated interventions

5. Discussion

The review highlights systemic weaknesses that compromise construction worker safety in PDR settings. These include policy gaps, poor enforcement, fragmented coordination, and failure to integrate occupational health into recovery planning. Crucially, while hazard-specific protocols may exist, they are rarely adapted for the urgency and fluidity of disaster scenarios.

5.1 Systemic Gaps in Policy and Enforcement

Despite international standards, implementation varies widely. Lack of centralized safety enforcement and overlapping mandates lead to inconsistencies between agencies and employers (Goh et al., 2010). Moreover, in the urgency of post-disaster rebuilding, governments often relax labor enforcement to accelerate project timelines, thereby sidelining worker safety (Bosher et al., 2007).

5.2 Compounded Risks from Precarity and Fatigue

Precarious work arrangements result in greater exposure to hazardous tasks without adequate rest, increasing the likelihood of injury or death (Lehtinen et al., 2014). Extended shifts and inadequate hydration in extreme heat or cold exacerbate health risks. The strain is magnified when workers are also disaster survivors facing personal trauma or displacement.

5.3 Challenges in Stakeholder Collaboration

The review found that stakeholder engagement, essential for effective safety planning, is typically siloed. Contractors, NGOs, local governments, and donors often have conflicting objectives or poorly aligned priorities

(Zuo et al., 2018). Successful examples, such as partnerships between labor ministries and construction unions, demonstrate the value of multi-stakeholder coordination, particularly when guided by national ownership and inclusive recovery mandates.

6. Recommendations

The findings from this review study suggest several practical and policy-level interventions to enhance construction worker safety and health in PDRR contexts.

6.1 Pre-Disaster Planning and Safety Integration

Authorities and NGOs should embed occupational safety protocols into disaster preparedness plans. Pre-positioning safety equipment, training modules, and multilingual communication tools ensures that worker safety is not reactive, but proactive (ILO, 2019).

6.2 Strengthen Enforcement of Safety Regulations

Government agencies must avoid relaxing safety oversight in the aftermath of disasters. Creating mobile inspection teams, enhancing penalties for noncompliance, and engaging community watchdogs can improve enforcement fidelity (Goh et al., 2010).

6.3 Invest in Inclusive and Continuous Training

All construction workers, especially migrant and informal laborers, should receive clear, culturally relevant safety training. PPE provision should align with gender-specific needs, and trainings should be ongoing rather than one-time events (Baradan & Usman, 2006; Zuo et al., 2018).

6.4 Mental Health Support and Rest Protocols

Disaster zones are mentally taxing. Employers and planners should integrate psychological first aid, offer peer counseling, and enforce limits on working hours and conditions to avoid fatigue-induced accidents (Somasundaraswaran et al., 2015).

6.5 Foster Stakeholder Collaboration

Establish formal coordination mechanisms among contractors, community leaders, health professionals, and labor ministries. Joint safety audits, collaborative planning sessions, and shared data systems can bridge institutional silos (Bosher et al., 2007; Walsh et al., 2017).

7. Conclusion

Post-disaster reconstruction presents both a monumental opportunity to rebuild resilient communities and a critical test of our commitment to worker protection. This paper highlights the hazardous conditions, institutional gaps, and psychosocial burdens faced by construction workers in PDRR settings. Drawing from empirical evidence and case-based insights, it recommends a framework grounded in pre-disaster planning, rigorous enforcement, inclusive training, mental health supports, and stakeholder collaboration. Ultimately, the health and safety of construction workers must be viewed not as a logistical footnote but as a central pillar of resilient recovery. Prioritizing their well-being ensures not only ethical practice but also more effective, sustainable reconstruction outcomes for disaster-affected communities.

8. References

- Asian Development Bank (ADB). (2016). *Nepal earthquake 2015: Recovery and reconstruction program progress report*. ADB Publications.
- Baradan, S., & Usmen, M. A. (2006). Comparative injury and fatality risk analysis of building trades. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 132(5), 533–539.
- Bosher, L., & Dainty, A. (2011). Resilience in the built environment: How to evaluate the risks and develop mitigation strategies. *Engineering Sustainability*, 164(3), 129–136.
- Bosher, L., Dainty, A., Carrillo, P., Glass, J., & Price, A. (2007). Integrating disaster risk management into construction: A UK perspective. *Building Research & Information*, 35(2), 163–177.
- Goh, Y. M., Love, P. E. D., Stagbouer, G., & Annesley, C. (2010). Dynamics of safety performance and culture: A review. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 17(4), 377–395.
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (2019). *Guidelines on occupational safety and health management systems*. ILO Publications.
- Lehtinen, S., Iavicoli, S., & Horton, D. K. (2014). Occupational safety and health in disasters: A global perspective. *Industrial Health*, 52(2), 95–101.
- Somasundaraswaran, K., Egodawatta, P., & Goonetilleke, A. (2015). Post-disaster reconstruction: The resilience approach. *Procedia Engineering*, 118, 905–911.
- Toole, T.M. (2002). Construction site safety roles. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 128(3), 203-210.
- Uddin, S.M.J., Albert, A., Pradhananga, N., Ganapati, N.E., & Prajapati, J. (2023). Health and safety challenges among post disaster reconstruction workers. *Construction Economics and Building*, 23(1/2), 4–30. <https://doi.org/10.5130/AJCEB.v23i1/2.8441>
- Uddin, S.M.J., Ganapati, N.E., Pradhananga, N., Prajapati, J., & Albert, A. (2021). Is the Workers' Health and Safety Scenario Different in Post-Disaster Reconstruction from Conventional Construction? A Case Study in Bhaktapur, Nepal. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 102529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102529>
- Walsh, S., Halvorson, S., & Rimal, B. (2017). Geographies of disaster vulnerability: Reconstruction and social recovery in Nepal. *Geography Compass*, 11(2), e12302.
- Zuo, J., Zhao, Z. Y., Nguyen, Q. B., Ma, T., & Gao, S. (2018). Soft factors in promoting sustainable construction practices: A case study of leadership in post-disaster housing reconstruction in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 204, 770–77.