

## ISOES Outreach Award Application Rubric

## **ISOES Outreach Award Proposal Scoring Rubric**

Scoring Key 0 1 2 3 4 ...adequately Criterion/ ...missing, not ...partially ...well thought ...extensive and out in a fairly addressed in a category is... addressed in a addressed. addressed or thorough very compelling underdeveloped. plausible manner. manner. manner. Alignment with ISOES Definition of Outreach and Engagement 2 0 1 3 4 Reciprocity and mutual benefit Partners and participants have appropriate, meaningful opportunities to contribute and benefit from project process and outcomes. Identifies how relationships will be fostered and sustained. Rooted in scholarship, creative work and teaching Engagement activities connect to and advance research, creative work, and/or teaching. Public need(s) Evidence of the public need as well as the rationale for ISOES involvement has been informed by relevant research, partner consultation and/or a direct request. Engages with an underserved or underrepresented community. Subtotal (Alignment w/ ISOES Df. of O&E): \_\_\_\_\_ Project Design and Proposal Elements 0 1 2 3 4 Goals/objectives Goals/objectives are well-defined and clearly connected to the problem or issue being addressed. Project plan and timeline Outlines plan to achieve goals and provides detailed and realistic timeline. **Evaluation** Detailed evaluation plan that includes appropriate benchmarks and approaches used to collect evidence to monitor quality and impact of outreach work.

Budget narrative Clearly explains how the budget will support the activities and people described in proposal. Justifies why outreach award funds are needed in addition to other funding and/or how outreach award funding will be leveraged for other funding (if applicable).					
	Sub	ototal (Project	Design & Pro	posal Elemer	nts): <u>/16</u>
Additional Considerations (For Faculty Group/Inter		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			,
	0	1	2	3	4
Collaboration Interdisciplinary collaboration is clearly defined and participating faculty work is synthesized under a single theme or topic.					
Additional Considerations (For Continuing Project I	Proposals ON	 LY)			
. Industrial Constitutions (1 of Containing 1 10) oct	0	1`	2	3	4
Continuing Projects Project's impact to date and rationale for continued funding is clear and compelling.					
Additional Considerations (Student Involvement If	Applicable)				
	Yes	No			
Student Involvement Details of student involvement in the project and how students will be supported, trained and/or mentored is well defined.					
Total Scores	I	ı	_		
Individual Faculty Proposal - New Proposal Score (Total/Overall):					
Individual Faculty Proposal - Continuing Proposal Score (Total/Overall):/32					
Group Proposal - New Proposal Score (Total/Overall):/32					
Group Proposal - Continuing Proposal Score (Total/Overall): /35					